arXiv:1701.00730v1 [math.AP] 3 Jan 2017

Notes on solution maps of abstract FDEs

Xiao-Qiang Zhao*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail: zhao@mun.ca

Let τ be a positive real number, X be a Banach space, and $C := C([-\tau, 0], X)$. For any $\phi \in C$, define $\|\phi\| = \sup_{-\tau \le \theta \le 0} \|\phi(\theta)\|_X$. Then $(C, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ on X. Assume that $T(t)$ is compact for each $t > 0$, and there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\| \le M$ for all $t \ge 0$.

We consider the abstract functional differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{du(t)}{dt} = Au(t) + F(t, u_t), \quad t > 0, \\ &u_0 = \phi \in C. \end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

Here $F : [0, \infty) \times C \to X$ is continuous and maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and $u_t \in C$ is defined by $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$, $\forall \theta \in [-\tau, 0]$.

Theorem A. Assume that for each $\phi \in C$, equation (0.1) has a unique solution $u(t, \phi)$ on $[0, \infty)$, and solutions of (0.1) are uniformly bounded in the sense that for any bounded subset B_0 of C, there exists a bounded subset

*Research supported in part by the NSERC of Canada.

$B_1 = B_1(B_0)$ of C such that $u_t(\phi) \in B_1$ for all $\phi \in B_0$ and $t \geq 0$. Then for any given $r > 0$, there exists an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_r^*$ on C such that the solution maps $Q(t) := u_t$ of equation (0.1) satisfy $\alpha(Q(t)B) \leq e^{-rt}\alpha(B)$ for any bounded subset B of C and $t \geq 0$, where α is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in $(C, \|\cdot\|_r^*)$.

Proof. Define $\|x\|^* = \sup_{t\geq 0} \|T(t)x\|,\ \forall x \in X$. Then $\|x\| \leq \|x\|^* \leq M\|x\|$, and hence, $\|x\|^*$ is an equivalent norm on X. It is easy to see that

$$\|T(t)x\|^* = \sup_{s\geq 0} \|T(s)T(t)x\| = \sup_{s\geq 0} \|T(s+t)x\| \leq \|x\|^*,\ \ \forall x \in X,\ t \geq 0,$$

which implies that $\|T(t)\|^* \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, without loss of generality, we assume that $M = 1$.

Let $r > 0$ be given. Note that for each $\phi \in C$, the solution $u(t, \phi)$ of (0.1) satisfies the following integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} &u(t) = \hat{T}(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t \hat{T}(t-s)\hat{F}(s, u_s)ds, \quad t \geq 0, \\ &u_0 = \phi \in C, \end{aligned} \tag{0.2}$$

where $\hat{T}(t) = e^{-rt}T(t)$ and $\hat{F}(t, \varphi) = r\varphi(0) + F(t, \varphi),\ \forall t \geq 0,\ \varphi \in C$. Then $\hat{T}(t)$ is also a C_0-semigroup on X and $\|\hat{T}(t)\| \leq e^{-rt},\ \forall t \geq 0$. Let $h(\theta) = e^{-r\theta}, \forall\ \theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, and define

$$\|\phi\|_r^* = \sup_{-\tau\leq\theta\leq 0} \frac{\|\phi(\theta)\|_X}{h(\theta)},\ \ \forall\ \phi \in C.$$

Then $\frac{1}{h(-\tau)}\|\phi\|_C \leq \|\phi\|_r^* \leq \|\phi\|_C$, and hence $\|\cdot\|_r^*$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_C$. Clearly, $\|\phi(0)\|_X \leq \|\phi\|_r^*, \forall \phi \in C$. Define

$$(L(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \hat{T}(t+\theta)\phi(0), & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{t+\theta} \hat{T}(t+\theta-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds, & t+\theta > 0, \\ 0, & t+\theta \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $Q(t)\phi = L(t)\phi + \bar{Q}(t)\phi, \forall\ t \ge 0, \phi \in C$, that is, $Q(t) = L(t) + \bar{Q}(t), \forall\ t \ge 0$.

We first show that $L(t)$ is an α-contraction on $(C, \|\cdot\|_r^*)$ for each $t > 0$. It is easy to see that $L(t)$ is compact for each $t > \tau$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t \in (0, \tau]$ is fixed. For any $\phi \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(t)\phi\|_r^* &= \sup_{-\tau\le\theta\le 0} \frac{\|(L(t)\phi)\|_X}{h(\theta)} \\ &\le \max\left\{ \sup_{-\tau\le\theta\le -t} \frac{\|\phi(t+\theta)\|_X}{h(t+\theta)}\frac{h(t+\theta)}{h(\theta)}, \quad \sup_{-t\le\theta\le 0} \frac{\|\hat{T}(t+\theta)\phi(0)\|_X}{h(\theta)} \right\} \\ &\le \max\left\{ e^{-rt}\|\phi\|_r^*, \quad \sup_{-t\le\theta\le 0} \frac{e^{-r(t+\theta)}\|\phi(0)\|_X}{h(\theta)} \right\} \\ &= \max\left\{ e^{-rt}\|\phi\|_r^*,\ e^{-rt}\|\phi(0)\|_X \right\} \le e^{-rt}\|\phi\|_r^*, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha(L(t)B) \le e^{-rt}\alpha(B)$ for any bounded subset B of C. Thus, this contraction property holds true for all $t > 0$.

Next we prove that $\bar{Q}(t) : C \to C$ is compact for each $t > 0$. Let $t > 0$ and the bounded subset B of C be given. By the uniform boundedness of solutions, there exists a real number $K > 0$ such that $\|\hat{F}(s, u_s(\phi))\|_X \le K,\ \forall s \in [0,t],\ \phi \in B$. It then follows that $\bar{Q}(t)B$ is bounded in C. We only need to show that $\bar{Q}(t)B$ is precompact in C. In view of the Arzela–Ascoli theorem for the space $C := C([-\tau, 0], X)$, it suffices to prove that (i) for each $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, the set $\{(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) : \phi \in B\}$ is precompact in X; and (ii) the set $\bar{Q}(t)B$ is equi-continuous in $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$. Clearly, statement (i) holds true if $t + \theta \le 0$. In the case where $t + \theta > 0$, for any given $\epsilon \in (0, t+\theta)$, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) &= \int_0^{t+\theta-\epsilon} \hat{T}(t+\theta-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds + \int_{t+\theta-\epsilon}^{t+\theta} \hat{T}(t+\theta-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds \\ &= \hat{T}(\epsilon)\int_0^{t+\theta-\epsilon} \hat{T}(t+\theta-\epsilon-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t+\theta-\epsilon}^{t+\theta} \hat{T}(t+\theta-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds.\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$S_1 := \left\{ \hat{T}(\epsilon)\int_0^{t+\theta-\epsilon} \hat{T}(t+\theta-\epsilon-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds : \phi \in B \right\}$$

and

$$S_2 := \left\{ \int_{t+\theta-\epsilon}^{t+\theta} \hat{T}(t+\theta-s)\hat{F}(s,u_s(\phi))ds : \phi \in B \right\}.$$

Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness in X. Since $\hat{T}(\epsilon)$ is compact, it follows that

$$\hat{\alpha}\left(\{(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) : \phi \in B\}\right) \leq \hat{\alpha}(S_1) + \hat{\alpha}(S_2) \leq 0 + 2K\epsilon = 2K\epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$, we obtain $\hat{\alpha}\left(\{(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) : \phi \in B\}\right) = 0$, which implies that the set $\{(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) : \phi \in B\}$ is precompact in X. It remains to verify statement (ii). Since $\hat{T}(s)$ is compact for each $s > 0$, $\hat{T}(s)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $s > 0$ (see [2, Theorem 2.3.2]). It then follows that for any $\epsilon \in (0,t)$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) < \epsilon$ such that

$$\|\hat{T}(s_1) - \hat{T}(s_2)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in [\epsilon, t] \text{ with } |s_1 - s_2| < \delta. \tag{0.3}$$

We first consider the case where $t \in (0, \tau]$. It is easy to see that

$$\|(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta)\|_X \leq K(t+\theta) \leq K\epsilon, \ \forall \theta \in [-t, -t+\epsilon], \ \phi \in B. \tag{0.4}$$

For any $\phi \in B$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-t+\epsilon, 0]$ with $0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 < \delta$, it follows from (0.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_2) - (\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_1)\right\|_X \\
&= \left\|\int_0^{t-\epsilon+\theta_1} (\hat{T}(t+\theta_2-s) - \hat{T}(t+\theta_1-s))\hat{F}(s, u_s(\phi))ds\right\|_X \\
&\quad + \left\|\int_{t-\epsilon+\theta_1}^{t+\theta_2} \hat{T}(t+\theta_2-s)\hat{F}(s, u_s(\phi))ds\right\|_X \\
&\quad + \left\|-\int_{t-\epsilon+\theta_1}^{t+\theta_1} \hat{T}(t+\theta_1-s)\hat{F}(s, u_s(\phi))ds\right\|_X \\
&\leq \epsilon K t + K(\theta_2 - \theta_1 + \epsilon) + K\epsilon \\
&< (t+3)K\epsilon. \qquad (0.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (0.4) and (0.5), we then obtain

$$\|(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_2) - (\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_1)\|_X < 2K\epsilon + (t+3)K\epsilon = (t+5)K\epsilon,$$

for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-t, 0]$ with $0 \leq \theta_2 - \theta_1 < \delta$. Since $(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta) = 0, \forall \theta \in [-\tau, -t]$, it follows that $\bar{Q}(t)B$ is equi-continuous in $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$. In the case where $t > \tau$, for any $\epsilon \in (0, t-\tau)$, the estimate in (0.5) implies that

$$\|(\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_2) - (\bar{Q}(t)\phi)(\theta_1)\|_X < (t+3)K\epsilon,$$

for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-\tau, 0]$ with $0 \leq \theta_2 - \theta_1 < \delta$, and $\phi \in B$. Thus, $\bar{Q}(t)B$ is equi-continuous in $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$. It then follows that $\bar{Q}(t) : C \to C$ is compact for each $t > 0$.

Consequently, for any $t > 0$ and any bounded subset B of C, we have

$$\alpha(Q(t)B) \leq \alpha(L(t)B) + \alpha(\bar{Q}(t)B) \leq e^{-rt}\alpha(B).$$

This completes the proof.

As an application example, we consider the following ω-periodic reaction-diffusion system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D\triangle u + f(t, u_t), & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega,\ t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{0.6}$$

where $D = diag\,(d_1, \ldots, d_m)$ with each $d_i > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with the smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f(t, \phi)$ is ω-periodic in $t \in [0, \infty)$ for some $\omega > 0$. Let $Y := C(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and assume that f is continuous and maps bounded subsets of $[0, \infty) \times C([-\tau, 0], Y)$ into bounded subsets of Y. Let $T(t)$ be the semigroup on Y generated by $\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} = D\triangle u(t, x)$ subject to the boundary condition $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$. It is easy to see that $\|T(t)\| \leq 1, \forall t \geq 0$. By Theorem A, we then have the following result.

Theorem B. Assume that solutions of system (0.6) exist uniquely on $[0, \infty)$ for any initial data in $C := C([-\tau, 0], Y)$ and are uniformly bounded. Then for each $r > 0$, there exists an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_r^*$ on C such that for each $t > 0$, the solution map $Q(t) = u_t$ of system (0.6) is an α-contraction on $(C, \|\cdot\|_r^*)$ with the contraction constant being e^{-rt}.

Remark. By using the theory of evolution operators (see, e.g., [1, Section II.11] and [2, Section 5.6]), one may extend Theorem A to the abstract functional differential equation $\frac{du(t)}{dt} = A(t)u(t) + F(t, u_t)$ with $u_0 = \phi \in C$ under appropriate assumptions.

References

[1] P. Hess, *Periodic-Parabolic Boundary Value Problems and Positivity*, Pitman Research Notes in Math., Series 247, Longman Scientific and

Technical, 1991.

[2] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.